BEFORE THE ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD

PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS,)	
by LISA MADIGAN, Attorney)	
General of the State of Illinois,)	
Complainant,)	
)	
V.)	PCB No. 16-110
)	(Enforcement - Water)
CASEY'S RETAIL COMPANY,)	
an Iowa Corporation,)	
)	
Respondent.)	

NOTICE OF FILING

To: See attached service list (VIA ELECTRONIC FILING)

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that I have today filed with the Office of the Clerk of the Pollution Control Board by electronic filing the following Motion for Relief from Hearing Requirement and Stipulation and Proposal for Settlement, copies of which are attached and hereby served upon you.

LISA MADIGAN Attorney General State of Illinois

/s/ Jamie D. Getz Jamie D. Getz, AAG

Dated: August 10, 2016

Jamie D. Getz
Assistant Attorney General
Environmental Bureau
Illinois Attorney General's Office
69 W. Washington Street, Suite 1800
Chicago, Illinois 60602
(312) 814-6986
jgetz@atg.state.il.us

SERVICE LIST

Douglas M. Beech Casey's General Stores, Inc. One SE Convenience Blvd. Ankeny, IA 50021 doug.beech@caseys.com

Bradley P. Halloran Hearing Officer Illinois Pollution Control Board James R. Thompson Center 100 West Randolph Street, Suite 11-500 Chicago, Illinois 60601 brad.halloran@illinois.gov

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, the undersigned, certify that I have served on the date of August 10, 2016 the attached Notice of Filing, Motion for Relief from Hearing Requirement, and Stipulation and Proposal for Settlement upon the following persons, by electronic mail to the persons identified on the service list.

/s/ Jamie D. Getz
Jamie D. Getz
Assistant Attorney General
Environmental Bureau
Illinois Attorney General's Office
69 W. Washington Street, Suite 1800
Chicago, Illinois 60602
(312) 814-6986
jgetz@atg.state.il.us

Date: August 10, 2016

BEFORE THE ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD

PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS,)	
by LISA MADIGAN, Attorney)	
General of the State of Illinois,)	
)	
Complainant,)	
)	
v.)	PCB No. 16-110
)	(Enforcement - Water)
CASEY'S RETAIL COMPANY,)	
an Iowa Corporation,)	
)	
Respondent.)	

MOTION FOR RELIEF FROM HEARING REQUIREMENT

NOW COMES Complainant, PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS, by LISA MADIGAN, Attorney General of the State of Illinois, and pursuant to Section 31(c)(2) of the Illinois Environmental Protection Act ("Act"), 415 ILCS 5/31(c)(2) (2014), moves that the Illinois Pollution Control Board ("Board") grant the parties in the above-captioned matter relief from the hearing requirement imposed by Section 31(c)(1) of the Act, 415 ILCS 5/31(c)(1) (2014). In support of this motion, Complainant states as follows:

- 1. The Complaint in this matter alleges violations of Sections 12(a), (d), and (f) of the Act, 415 ILCS 5/12(a), (d), and (f) (2014), and Sections 305.102(b) and 309.102(a) of the Board Water Pollution Regulations, 35 Ill. Adm. Code 305.102(b) and 309.102(a).
 - 2. The parties have reached agreement on all outstanding issues in this matter.
- 3. This agreement is presented to the Board in a Stipulation and Proposal for Settlement filed this same date.
- 4. All parties agree that a hearing on the Stipulation and Proposal for Settlement is not necessary, and respectfully request relief from such a hearing as allowed by Section 31(c)(2) of the Act, 415 ILCS 5/31(c)(2) (2014).

WHEREFORE, Complainant, PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS, hereby requests that the Board grant this motion for relief from the hearing requirement set forth in Section 31(c)(1) of the Act, 415 ILCS 5/31(c)(1) (2014).

Respectfully submitted,

PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS

LISA MADIGAN Attorney General State of Illinois

BY: /s/ Jamie D. Getz
Jamie D. Getz
Assistant Attorney General
Environmental Bureau
Illinois Attorney General's Office
69 W. Washington Street, Suite 1800
Chicago, Illinois 60602
(312) 814-0609
jgetz@atg.state.il.us

DATE: August 8, 2016

BEFORE THE ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD

PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS,)
by LISA MADIGAN, Attorney)
General of the State of Illinois,)
Complainant.)
v.) PCB No. 16-110) (Enforcement - Water)
CASEY'S RETAIL COMPANY,)
an Iowa Corporation,)
)
Respondent.)

STIPULATION AND PROPOSAL FOR SETTLEMENT

Complainant, PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS, by LISA MADIGAN, Attorney General of the State of Illinois, the ILLINOIS ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY ("Illinois EPA"), and CASEY'S RETAIL COMPANY, an Iowa corporation ("Respondent"), (collectively "Parties to the Stipulation"), have agreed to the making of this Stipulation and Proposal for Settlement ("Stipulation") and submit it to the Illinois Pollution Control Board ("Board") for approval. This stipulation of facts is made and agreed upon for purposes of settlement only and as a factual basis for the Board's approval of this Stipulation and issuance of relief. None of the facts stipulated herein shall be introduced into evidence in any other proceeding regarding the violations of the Illinois Environmental Protection Act ("Act"), 415 ILCS 5/1, et seq. (2014), and the Board's regulations, alleged in the Complaint except as otherwise provided herein. It is the intent of the Parties to the Stipulation that it be a final adjudication of this matter.

I. STATEMENT OF FACTS

A. Parties

1. On June 9, 2016, a Complaint was filed on behalf of the People of the State of

Illinois by Lisa Madigan, Attorney General of the State of Illinois, on her own motion and upon the request of the Illinois EPA, pursuant to Section 31 of the Act, 415 ILCS 5/31 (2014), against the Respondent.

- 2. The Illinois EPA is an administrative agency of the State of Illinois, created pursuant to Section 4 of the Act, 415 ILCS 5/4 (2014).
- At all times relevant to the Complaint, Respondent was and is an Iowa
 corporation that is authorized to transact business in the State of Illinois.
- 4. At all times relevant to the Complaint, Respondent controlled the construction of a new Casey's General Store, a retail store, located at 2950 4th Street, Peru, LaSalle County, Illinois ("Site").

B. Allegations of Non-Compliance

Complainant contends that the Respondent has violated the following provisions of the Act and Board regulations:

Count I: Water Pollution, in violation of Section 12(a) of the Act, 415 ILCS 5/12(a) (2014).

Count II: Water Pollution Hazard, in violation of Section 12(d) of the Act, 415 ILCS 5/12(d) (2014).

Count III: Failure to Comply with NPDES Storm Water Permit, in violation of Section 12(f) of the Act, 415 ILCS 5/12(f) (2014); and Sections 305.102(b) and 309.102(a) of the Board Water Pollution Regulations, 35 III. Adm. Code 305.102(b) and 309.102(a).

C. Non-Admission of Violations

The Respondent represents that it has entered into this Stipulation for the purpose of settling and compromising disputed claims without having to incur the expense of contested litigation. By entering into this Stipulation and complying with its terms, the Respondent does not admit the allegations of violation within the Complaint and referenced within Section 1.B

herein, and this Stipulation shall not be interpreted as including such admission.

II. <u>APPLICABILITY</u>

This Stipulation shall apply to and be binding upon the Parties to the Stipulation. The Respondent shall not raise as a defense to any enforcement action taken pursuant to this Stipulation the failure of any of its officers, directors, agents, employees or successors or assigns to take such action as shall be required to comply with the provisions of this Stipulation. This Stipulation may be used against the Respondent in any subsequent enforcement action or permit proceeding as proof of a past adjudication of violation of the Act and the Board Regulations for all violations alleged in the Complaint in this matter, for purposes of Sections 39 and 42 of the Act, 415 ILCS 5/39 and 42 (2014).

III. <u>IMPACT ON THE PUBLIC RESULTING FROM ALLEGED NON-COMPLIANCE</u>

Section 33(c) of the Act, 415 ILCS 5/33(c) (2014), provides as follows:

In making its orders and determinations, the Board shall take into consideration all the facts and circumstances bearing upon the reasonableness of the emissions, discharges, or deposits involved including, but not limited to:

- 1. the character and degree of injury to, or interference with the protection of the health, general welfare and physical property of the people;
- 2. the social and economic value of the pollution source;
- 3. the suitability or unsuitability of the pollution source to the area in which it is located, including the question of priority of location in the area involved;
- 4. the technical practicability and economic reasonableness of reducing or eliminating the emissions, discharges or deposits resulting from such pollution source; and
- 5. any subsequent compliance.

In response to these factors, the Parties to the Stipulation state the following:

- 1. Complainant alleges that the environment was threatened due to the Respondent's failure to properly manage storm water erosion controls at the Site. The Illinois EPA's information gathering responsibilities were hindered by the Respondent's failure to maintain and make available proper documentation as required by the NPDES storm water permit for the Site.
- 2. There is social and economic benefit to the Site as the Respondent's activities resulted in the construction of a retail store for the community.
- 3. Construction of the retail store was and is suitable for the area in which it is located.
- 4. Compliance with the terms of a NPDES storm water permit and the implementation of measures at the Site to prevent water pollution would have been both technically practicable and economically reasonable.
- 5. The Respondent has ceased construction activities at the Site and final stabilization of the Site has been completed.

IV. CONSIDERATION OF SECTION 42(h) FACTORS

Section 42(h) of the Act, 415 ILCS 5/42(h) (2014), provides as follows:

In determining the appropriate civil penalty to be imposed under . . . this Section, the Board is authorized to consider any matters of record in mitigation or aggravation of penalty, including but not limited to the following factors:

- 1. the duration and gravity of the violation;
- 2. the presence or absence of due diligence on the part of the respondent in attempting to comply with requirements of this Act and regulations thereunder or to secure relief therefrom as provided by this Act;
- 3. any economic benefits accrued by the respondent because of delay in compliance with requirements, in which case the economic benefits shall be determined by the lowest cost alternative for achieving compliance;
- 4. the amount of monetary penalty which will serve to deter further violations by the respondent and to otherwise aid in enhancing voluntary

- compliance with this Act by the respondent and other persons similarly subject to the Act;
- 5. the number, proximity in time, and gravity of previously adjudicated violations of this Act by the respondent;
- 6. whether the respondent voluntarily self-disclosed, in accordance with subsection i of this Section, the non-compliance to the Agency;
- 7. whether the respondent has agreed to undertake a "supplemental environmental project," which means an environmentally beneficial project that a respondent agrees to undertake in settlement of an enforcement action brought under this Act, but which the respondent is not otherwise legally required to perform; and
- 8. whether the respondent has successfully completed a Compliance Commitment Agreement under subsection (a) of Section 31 of this Act to remedy the violations that are the subject of the complaint.

In response to these factors, the Parties to the Stipulation state as follows:

- 1. Respondent failed to maintain and provide adequate documentation of a Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan ("SWPPP"). The SWPPP failed to include an adequate description of appropriate controls to be implemented at the Site, failed to include a description of the procedures to maintain the erosion and sediment control measures, and failed to comply with ongoing inspection requirements. Such failures violated the terms of the NPDES storm water permit. The violations began June 2014, and ceased in November 2015 when construction of the retail store was completed.
- 2. By means of completion of construction at the Site, the Respondent came into compliance with the Act and Board Regulations.
- The civil penalty takes into account any economic benefit realized by the
 Respondent as a result of avoided or delayed compliance.
- 4. Complainant has determined, based upon the specific facts of this matter, that a penalty of Twelve Thousand Dollars (\$12,000.00) will serve to deter further violations and aid in

future voluntary compliance with the Act and Board regulations.

- To Complainant's knowledge, Respondent has no previously adjudicated violations of the Act.
 - 6. Self-disclosure is not at issue in this matter.
- 7. The settlement of this matter does not include a supplemental environmental project.
 - 8. A Compliance Commitment Agreement was not at issue in this matter.

V. <u>TERMS OF SETTLEMENT</u>

A. Penalty Payment

The Respondent shall pay a civil penalty in the sum of Twelve Thousand Dollars (\$12,000.00) within thirty (30) days from the date the Board adopts and accepts this Stipulation.

B. Interest and Default

- 1. If the Respondent fails to make any payment required by this Stipulation on or before the date upon which the payment is due, the Respondent shall be in default and the remaining unpaid balance of the penalty, plus any accrued interest, shall be due and owing immediately. In the event of default, the Complainant shall be entitled to reasonable costs of collection, including reasonable attorney's fees.
- 2. Pursuant to Section 42(g) of the Act, interest shall accrue on any penalty amount owed by the Respondent not paid within the time prescribed herein. Interest on unpaid penalties shall begin to accrue from the date such are due and continue to accrue to the date full payment is received. Where partial payment is made on any penalty amount that is due, such partial payment shall be first applied to any interest on unpaid penalties then owing.

C. Payment Procedures

1. All payments required by this Stipulation shall be made by certified check or money order payable to the Illinois EPA for deposit into the Environmental Protection Trust Fund ("EPTF"). Payments shall be sent by first class mail and delivered to:

Illinois Environmental Protection Agency Fiscal Services 1021 North Grand Avenue East P.O. Box 19276 Springfield, Illinois 62794-9276

- 2. The case name and case number shall appear on the face of the certified check or money order.
- 3. A copy of the certified check or money order and any transmittal letter shall be sent to:

Jamie D. Getz Assistant Attorney General Environmental Bureau Illinois Attorney General's Office 69 W. Washington Street, Suite 1800 Chicago, Illinois 60602

D. Future Compliance

- 1. At all times in the future, the Respondent shall comply with the terms and conditions of any NPDES permit it obtains from Illinois EPA.
- 2. In addition to any other authorities, the Illinois EPA, its employees and representatives, and the Attorney General, her employees and representatives, shall have the right of entry into and upon the Respondent's Site which is the subject of this Stipulation, at all reasonable times for the purposes of conducting inspections and evaluating compliance status. In conducting such inspections, the Illinois EPA, its employees and representatives, and the Attorney General, her employees and representatives, may take photographs, samples, and collect information, as they deem necessary.

- 3. This Stipulation in no way affects the responsibilities of the Respondent to comply with any other federal, state or local laws or regulations, including but not limited to the Act and the Board Regulations.
- 4. The Respondent shall cease and desist from future violations of the Act and Board Regulations that were the subject matter of the Complaint.

E. Release from Liability

In consideration of the Respondent's payment of the \$12,000.00 penalty, and its commitment to cease and desist as contained in Section V.D.4 above, and upon the Board's approval of this Stipulation, the Complainant releases, waives and discharges the Respondent from any further liability or penalties for the violations of the Act and Board regulations that were the subject matter of the Complaint herein. The release set forth above does not extend to any matters other than those expressly specified in Complainant's Complaint filed on June 9, 2016. The Complainant reserves, and this Stipulation is without prejudice to, all rights of the State of Illinois against the Respondent with respect to all other matters, including but not limited to, the following:

- a. criminal liability;
- b. liability for future violation of state, federal, local, and common laws and/or regulations;
- c. liability for natural resources damage arising out of the alleged violations; and
- d. liability or claims based on the Respondent's failure to satisfy the requirements of this Stipulation.

Nothing in this Stipulation is intended as a waiver, discharge, release, or covenant not to sue for any claim or cause of action, administrative or judicial, civil or criminal, past or future, in law or in equity, which the State of Illinois may have against any person, as defined by Section 3.315 of

the Act, 415 ILCS 5/3.315, or entity other than the Respondent.

F. Enforcement and Modification of Stipulation

Upon the entry of the Board's Order approving and accepting this Stipulation, that Order is a binding and enforceable order of the Board and may be enforced as such through any and all available means.

G. Execution of Stipulation

The undersigned representatives for the Parties to the Stipulation certify that they are fully authorized by the party whom they represent to enter into the terms and conditions of this Stipulation and to legally bind them to it.

WHEREFORE, the Parties to the Stipulation request that the Board adopt and accept the foregoing Stipulation and Proposal for Settlement as written.

PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS	ILLINOIS ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
LISA MADIGAN	
Attorney General	
State of Illinois	
MATTHEW J. DUNN, Chief	ALEC MESSINA, Acting Director
Environmental Enforcement/	Illinois Environmental Protection Agency
Asbestos Litigation Division	
BY: Labet Wallow ELIZABETH WALLACE, Chief Assistant Attorney General Environmental Bureau	BY. JOHN J. KIM Chief Legal Counsel
DATE: 7/29/16	DATE: 7(22/16
RESPONDENT	
CASEY'S RETAIL COMPANY	
BY:	
lts:	
DATE:	

PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS	ILLINOIS ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
LISA MADIGAN Attorney General State of Illinois	
MATTHEW J. DUNN, Chief Environmental Enforcement/	ALEC MESSINA, Acting Director Illinois Environmental Protection Agency
Asbestos Litigation Division	
BY: ELIZABETH WALLACE, Chief Assistant Attorney General Environmental Bureau	BY: JOHN J. KIM Chief Legal Counsel
DATE:	DATE:
RESPONDENT	
CASEY'S RETAIL COMPANY	1/
BY: Theharost n. Schaff	yu-
Its: RESIDENT	
DATE: 7/26/16	